Agenda for Sept Oxford Meeting:

Module session:

(1) Review of electrical performance out of the summer beamtest.

This is the first serious module reviewing action. By this time, wewill have info/measurement of the **electrical performance out the summerbeamtest** modules, both for r*phi and z, although the readout electronicsis different. **All parties involved in the beamtest should be asked to present the results**...

(2) Plan for the 96 beamtest module

Clarify the **motivation and goals of the 96 beamtest**, and the **modules** (numbers, types,...) Collect arguments to be discussed in the **November ATLAS week** where we **finalize** the plan for the 96.

Date for a module review:

One full day of review can be possible immeadiately **before or after the November ATLAS week** (Nov. 27- Dec. 1). If before, it is Nov. 24(Fri); If after, Dec 4(Mon).

What do we review? The review should be based on the measurement. Otherwise, we are discussing own belief; no real convergence on selection/decision.

One is the summary of the **electrical performance** of the summer beamtest. Sept Oxford should be a serious,... but would be a preview.

Second is the **thermal performance**. Each module type should have done a **measurement of the temperature and deformation** of at least one unit of module. At maximum, a **cooling test of a row of modules**, e.g., half length (72cm) and one (or two) row(s) (i.e, 6 (or 12) thermal modules).**All parties should be asked (to work hard) to produce the results** in several months (Aug., Sept., Oct., and some of Nov.)

Additional information of the electrical performance will come in in **Feb-Mar, 96**. At present, there is a plan to produce a **Z-Binary** and a **r*phi-Binary** modules based on the same detector and the same electronics. The hybrid would be different. These modules are to be **beamtested inFeb, 96, at KEK**.

So, there might be **one more review in March**... a perfect match for the **March LBL SCT workshop**! There, we can decide the modules for the 96 beamtest.